

LANDMARK



SURVEY

IDENTIFICATION

Street Address: 1102 Carlton Avenue
Map and Parcel: 56-86
Census Tract & Block: 4-129
Present Owner: Sallie E. Thomas
Address: 512 Rives Street
Present Use: Residence
Original Owner: J. S. Young and Company
Original Use: Office

BASE DATA

Historic Name: ~~The~~ Young Building (C.M.R.A.)
Date/Period: 1916
Style:
Height to Cornice:
Height in Stories: 2
Present Zoning: M-1
Land Area (sq.ft.): 112 x 71
Assessed Value (land + imp.): 4430 + 5670 = 10,100

ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION

This structure is an interesting example of Flemish architecture expressed with the great curving Jacobean gables. This feature, while not being extraordinary, is the only example in the city. Other features of the exterior are typical of commercial structures dating from the first quarter of this century; ie. segmental and jack arches, center pavilion with the company's monogram over the entrance, and small, decorative quoins done in brick.

HISTORICAL DESCRIPTION

The lot was purchased by the J. S. Young Company in 1916 from Alvin J. Street who had acquired the property from the Charlottesville Land Company. The Young Company held title to the land until 1938, when it was sold to Howard Y. Haffner. The present owner's family bought the property in 1939. ACDB 97-352, City DB 97-141, 98-138, City WB 7-152.

SIGNIFICANCE

The Young Building is unique in that it is the only example of the Jacobean Revival style in Charlottesville.

CONDITIONS

Average

UTM: 17/722300/4210950

SOURCES

City Records

United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places
Inventory—Nomination Form

For NPS use only
received
date entered

Continuation sheet

Item number

Page 1 of 9

Multiple Resource Area
Thematic Group

dnr-11

Name Charlottesville Multiple Resource Area
State Virginia

Nomination/Type of Review

Date/Signature

67-66-1
Rec. 87
+ 21
(100 E.S.)
02/26/84

1. Wertland Street Historic District

Substantive Review

fil Keeper accept Patrick Andrews 2/12/85

Attest

2. Ridge Street Historic District

Substantive Review

Keeper

Linda McClelland
10/21/82

Attest

3. Willow Cottage House

Substantive Review

~~DOE/OWNER OBJECTION~~

Keeper

Ret. RET 1/10 CD

Attest

4. Farish, G. W., House

Substantive Review

~~DOE/OWNER OBJECTION~~

Keeper

Ret.

Attest

5. Purvis-Seay House

Substantive Review

Keeper

Ret.

Attest

6. Belmont

Substantive Review

Keeper

Linda McClelland 10/21/82

Attest

7. Young Building

Substantive Review

Keeper

Linda McClelland 10/21/82

Attest

8. Ficklin-Crawford Cottage

Substantive Review

Keeper

Linda McClelland 10/21/82

Attest

9. Peters-Hartmen House

Substantive Review

Keeper

Ret.

Attest

10. Doswell-Mayo House

Substantive Review

Keeper

Ret.

Attest

United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service

Substantive Review

Young Building (Charlottesville MRA) VIRGINIA

Working No. 9/7/82-2435
Fed. Reg. Date: 2.7.83
Date Due: 10/7/82 - 10/22/82
Action: ACCEPT 10/24/82
 RETURN
 REJECT

- resubmission
- nomination by person or local government
- owner objection
- appeal

Federal Agency: _____

Substantive Review: sample request appeal NR decision

Reviewer's comments:

Significance based on its good example of a locally rare style. Description adds to Statement of Significance

Recom./Criteria Accept C
Reviewer L. McCallard
Discipline A. Hist
Date 22/20/82
 see continuation sheet

Nomination returned for: technical corrections cited below
 substantive reasons discussed below

1. Name

2. Location

3. Classification

Category	Ownership	Status	Present Use
	Public Acquisition	Accessible	

4. Owner of Property

5. Location of Legal Description

6. Representation in Existing Surveys

has this property been determined eligible? yes no

7. Description

Condition		Check one	Check one
<input type="checkbox"/> excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> deteriorated	<input type="checkbox"/> unaltered	<input type="checkbox"/> original site
<input type="checkbox"/> good	<input type="checkbox"/> ruins	<input type="checkbox"/> altered	<input type="checkbox"/> moved date _____
<input type="checkbox"/> fair	<input type="checkbox"/> unexposed		

Describe the present and original (if known) physical appearance

- summary paragraph
- completeness
- clarity
- alterations/integrity
- dates
- boundary selection

8. Significance

Period _____ Area of Significance—Check and justify below

Specific dates _____ Builder/Architect _____

Statement of Significance (in one paragraph)

- _____ summary paragraph
- _____ completeness
- _____ clarity
- _____ applicable criteria
- _____ justification of areas checked
- _____ relating significance to the resource
- _____ context
- _____ relationship of integrity to significance
- _____ justification of exception
- _____ other

9. Major Bibliographical References

10. Geographical Data

Acres of nominated property _____

Quadrangle name _____

USIT References _____

Verbal boundary description and justification _____

11. Form Prepared By

12. State Historic Preservation Officer Certification

The evaluated significance of this property within the state is:

_____ national _____ state _____ local

State Historic Preservation Officer signature _____

title _____ date _____

13. Other

- _____ Maps
- _____ Photographs
- _____ Other

Questions concerning this nomination may be directed to _____

Signed _____ Date _____ Phone: 202 272 - 3504

Comments for any item may be continued on an attached sheet