

Bridge removed 1995-1996

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations of eligibility for individual properties or districts. See instructions in *Guidelines* for Completing National Register Forms (National Register Bulletin 16). Complete each item by marking "x" in the appropriate box or by entering the requested information. If an item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, styles, materials, and areas of significance, enter only the categories and subcategories listed in the instructions. For additional space use continuation sheets (Form 10-900a). Type all entries.

·							
Name of Property							
historic name Bridge over North	Fork of the Roanoke R	iver					
other names/site number 60-394							
2. Location 1/8 mile sout	heast of the junction	of VA Routes					
street & number 603 and 637		N/A	not for publication				
city, town Ironto			✓ vicinity ✓ vicinity				
state Virginia code	7A county Montgon	ery code 121	zip code 24087				
3. Classification							
Ownership of Property	Category of Property	Number of Resources within Property					
private	building(s)	Contributing	Noncontributing				
public-local	district	0	0 buildings				
public-State	site	0	0 sites				
public-Federal	structure	1	O structures				
	object	0	0 objects				
		1	0 Total				
Name of related multiple property listin	O: Prehistoric and	Number of contr	ibuting resources previously				
Historic Resources of Monts			onal Register0				
	<u> </u>						
4. State/Federal Agency Certifica	ition						
National Register of Historic Places In my opinion, the property mee	ts does not meet the Nationa	Register criteria. See	continuation sheet.				
Signature of certifying official			Date				
Director, Virginia Department	of Historic Resources						
State or Federal agency and bureau							
In my opinion, the property mee	ts does not meet the Nationa	Register criteria. See	continuation sheet.				
Signature of commenting or other official	Date						
State or Federal agency and bureau							
5. National Park Service Certifica	ation						
I, hereby, certify that this property is:							
entered in the National Register. See continuation sheet.							
determined eligible for the National							
Register, See continuation sheet.							
determined not eligible for the							
National Register.							
realional negister.							
removed from the National Register	,						
other, (explain:)							
	Signatur	e of the Keeper	Date of Action				

6. Function or Use						
Historic Functions (enter categories from instructions)	Current Functions (enter categories from instructions)					
Transportation: road-related (vehicular)	Transportation: road-related (vehicular)					
7. Description						
Architectural Classification (enter categories from instructions)	Materials (enter categories from instructions)					
	foundation					
Other: through Pratt truss bridge	walls					
	roof					
	other <u>metal:</u> steel					

Describe present and historic physical appearance.

The bridge is located in the hilly area along the North Fork of the Roanoke River between the valley at Ellett and the narrow gorge near Ironto. It is a pin-connected through Pratt truss, and spans 105 feet from poured concrete abutments. The portal strut carries a date plate stating that the bridge was manufactured in 1892 by the King Iron Bridge and Manufacturing Company of Cleveland, Ohio. The bridge has ornamental steel lattice portal bracing around the top of the portals. The wood-floored bridge serves a narrow tertiary dirt road. Bolted splice plates on the top chord indicate that the bridge has been relocated, but physical inspection does not suggest that the physical form of the bridge was compromised or that any elements were lost or replaced.

8. Statement of Significance									
Certifying official has considered the		ice of thationally		erty in i		lo other		š:	
Applicable National Register Criteria	ПА	□в	⊠c						
Criteria Considerations (Exceptions)	□А	⊠в	С	□D	E	□F	□G		
Areas of Significance (enter categories from instructions) Engineering				2 - ca	ficance . 1940		Significant Dates		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						l Affiliati			
Significant Person						ct/Builde Iron		and Man	ufacturing Compan

State significance of property, and justify criteria, criteria considerations, and areas and periods of significance noted above.

This bridge over the North Fork of the Roanoke River, identified in the Virginia Highway Transportation Research Council files as #6045, is analyzed in the study by Paula Spero for that agency in Metal Truss Bridges in Virginia 1865 - 1932: The Salem Construction District, p. 72. The bridge is described as one of two bridges in the twelve-county Salem District manufactured by the King Iron Bridge and Manufacturing Company of Cleveland, Ohio. "Unlike the other King Company bridges in the Salem district, this bridge is a pin-connected through Pratt truss spanning 105 feet. In its 1884 catalogue, the King Company illustrated the diversity of its manufacturing abilities. the many claims, it asserted that its shop had the capacity for wrought iron and steel bridges, high and low trusses, arch bridges, swing bridges, iron turntables and combination bridges of all styles. This Pratt truss was built by the King Company for another site and moved to this one. The practice of dismantling truss spans and recrecting them at new sites has been observed throughout Virginia in the truss bridge survey. Relocated trusses are identified by bolted splice plates on the They confirm historical accounts of the ease of erection of the truss bridge. Not only was this bridge type easy to erect initially at a remote site, but it could be removed, replaced by a bridge with greater load capacity, and reerected on a road with lighter traffic demands. There are many examples of relocated truss bridges throughout Virginia."

The bridge received an overall rating of twelve by the Virginia Highway and Transportation Research Council. The bridge was rated highly for its decorative features, manufacturer, date, condition, and environment. According to the report, any of the fifty-eight bridges in the state initially deemed of value which received twenty or more points of a possible twenty-seven were considered historically significant, and those receiving ten or

9. Major Bibliographical References	_
Newlon, Howard Jr. Criteria for Preservation	
Structures. Charlottesville: Virginia Hi	ghway and Transportation
Research Council, 1978.	
Spero, Paula. Metal Truss Bridges in Virginia <u>District</u> . Charlottesville: Virginia High Council, 1980.	1865 - 1932, v. 7: The Salem Construction way and Transportation
Codicii, 1900.	
•	
	See continuation sheet
Previous documentation on file (NPS):	
preliminary determination of individual listing (36 CFR 67)	Primary location of additional data:
has been requested	State historic preservation office
previously listed in the National Register	Other State agency
previously determined eligible by the National Register	Federal agency
designated a National Historic Landmark	Local government
recorded by Historic American Buildings	University
Survey #	Other
recorded by Historic American Engineering	Specify repository:
Record #	Virginia Department of Historic Resources
10 Coographical Data	221 Governor Street
10. Geographical Data	Richmond, VA 23219
Acreage of property <u>less than one acre.</u>	
UTM References	
$A \begin{bmatrix} 1.7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 7 & 3 & 7 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	B
Zone Easting Northing	Zone Easting Northing
	D L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L
	See continuation sheet
Verbal Boundary Description	
Beginning at point A 10 feet north and 10 fee	et west of the northwest corner of bridge
proceed east 118 feet to point B 10 feet beyo	
C, 10 feet beyond the bridge, thence west 118	
thence north 33 feet to point of origin.	
	· ·
	See continuation sheet
Boundary Justification	
The boundaries were chosen to include the bri	idge and a minimum amount of space
around the bridge.	
	See continuation sheet
11. Form Prepared By	
name/title _ Gibson_Worsham	
organization Gibson Worsham, Architect	date June 1988
street & number Route 2, Yellow Sulphur Springs	
city or town Christiansburg	state <u>Virginia</u> zip code 24073

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Prehistoric and Historic Resources of Montgomery County Bridge, 60-394

Section	number	8	Page	
---------	--------	---	------	--

higher were judged potentially significant. The rating was based on the relative importance of manufacturer, form, integrity, setting, and age. The bridge is the oldest and highest-rated metal truss highway bridge standing today in the New River Valley. It retains its original date plate. Its relocation on a little used country road from another site at some time during the first half of this century demonstrates the advertised ease of relocation, which was a major selling point for a purchaser. The bridge demonstrates the bridge type most popular during its original period of construction and well into the twentieth century, extending the period of significance. The bridge's context and the destruction of other, higher rated bridges such as the bridge between Graysontown and Snowville (#6907) make this the most important metal truss bridge in the Montgomery/Pulaski portion of the Salem Construction District.



