

Draft Minutes

JOINT MEETING
STATE REVIEW BOARD and BOARD OF HISTORIC RESOURCES
9:30 a.m. September 23, 2021

At James Madison's Montpelier, Center for the Constitution, Claude Moore Hall Potter Family Studio and online.

State Review Board Members Present

Chair Jody Lahendro
Dr. Jody L. Allen
Dr. Carl Lounsbury
John Mullen
John Salmon
Carol Shull

Historic Resources Board Members Present

W. Tucker Lemon, Chair
Dr. Colita Nichols Fairfax
Karice Luck-Brimmer
David Ruth

State Review Board Members Absent

Vice-Chair Sara Bon-Harper

Historic Resources Board Members Absent

Jeffrey "Free" A. Harris, Vice-Chair
Dr. Ashley Atkins-Spivey
Trip Pollard

Department of Historic Resources Staff Present

Julie Langan, Director
David Edwards
Jim Hare
Elizabeth Lipford
Aubrey Von Lindern
Lena McDonald
Jennifer Loux
Wendy Musumeci
Randy Jones

Stephanie Williams, Deputy Director
Jennifer Pullen
Michael Pulice
Marc Wagner
Blake McDonald
Megan Melinat
Brad McDonald
Ivy Tan
Karri Richardson

Other State Agency Staff Present:

Andrew Tarne, Office of the Attorney General

Guests Present (from attendees list in Webex and sign-in sheets):

Gelene Goffena	Hali Haskins	James Hollins	Justin Reid
Kayla Halberg	Sandra Esposito	Wendy Wheatcraft	Adriana Moss
Cary Jamieson	Edwin Gaskin	Rachael Thayer	Victoria Wassmer
Ashlen Stump	David Henley	Kelly Hengler	Max Hokit
Anna Wells	Lucille Smith	Mark Reed	Mary Ruffin Hanbury

BHR Chair Lemon introduced Roy Young, President and CEO, of the Montpelier Foundation. Mr. Young welcomed attendees to Montpelier. Mr. Young informed attendees of two ongoing projects at Montpelier to research and commemorate the lives of the enslaved community at Montpelier and to investigate and restore the

cultural landscape of this community. He also noted the activities of the Center for the Constitution at Montpelier and concluded by welcoming everyone to visit.

Board of Historic Resources (BHR)

BHR Chair Lemon introduced himself and called the meeting to order at 9:36 a.m. Deputy Director Williams took roll call to establish a quorum of members. Dr. Fairfax, Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Ruth, and Chair Lemon are present. Vice-Chair Harris, Mr. Pollard, and Dr. Atkins-Spivey are absent. Deputy Director Williams confirmed the presence of a quorum. Chair Lemon explained the purpose and duties of the BHR. He invited BHR members to introduce themselves. He requested a motion for approval of the meeting format and agenda. Ms. Luck-Brimmer so moved and Mr. Ruth seconded. Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Dr. Fairfax, Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Ruth, and Chair Lemon voted in the affirmative.

Chair Lemon asked for a motion to approve the June 17, 2021, meeting minutes. Mr. Ruth made the motion and Dr. Fairfax seconded. Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Dr. Fairfax, Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Ruth, and Chair Lemon voted in the affirmative.

Chair Lemon asked for a motion to approve the June 14, 2021, meeting minutes. Dr. Fairfax made the motion and Ms. Luck-Brimmer seconded. Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Dr. Fairfax, Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Ruth, and Chair Lemon voted in the affirmative.

State Review Board (SRB)

Chair Jody Lahendro introduced himself and called the meeting to order at 9:45 a.m. Deputy Director Williams took a roll call of SRB members to confirm the presence of a quorum. Chair Lahendro, Dr. Allen, Dr. Lounsbury, Mr. Mullen, Mr. Salmon, and Ms. Shull are present. Vice-Chair Bon-Harper is absent. Chair Lahendro next explained the purpose and duties of the SRB and the process of Register designation. He then asked the board members to introduce themselves. Chair Lahendro asked for a motion for approval of the meeting format and agenda. Dr. Lounsbury made the motion and Dr. Allen seconded. Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Chair Lahendro, Dr. Allen, Dr. Lounsbury, Mr. Mullen, Mr. Salmon, and Ms. Shull voted in the affirmative.

Chair Lahendro asked for a motion to approve the June 21, 2021, meeting minutes. Mr. Salmon made the motion and Dr. Lounsbury seconded. Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Chair Lahendro, Dr. Allen, Dr. Lounsbury, Mr. Mullen, Mr. Salmon, and Ms. Shull voted in the affirmative.

Chair Lahendro introduced DHR Director Julie Langan.

Director's Report:

Director Langan thanked the staff of Montpelier for hosting the meeting and accommodating the event despite inclement weather and the ongoing covid pandemic. She thanked DHR staff who organized the meeting, handled logistics, and facilitated the Webex broadcast of the meeting for online attendees. Director Langan noted that Governor Northam's administration is nearing an end. Secretary of Natural and Historic Resources Matt Strickler announced his resignation and will be accepting a position at the U.S. Department of the Interior. She explained that the next governor's administration will inherit Governor Northam's last biennial budget but will appoint new leadership at each of the secretariats. Director Langan informed the boards that DHR has requested funding and authorization to create a full-time, permanent position and grant program focused on outreach and engagement with Virginia Indian tribes. She noted that this year's newly created Community Engagement position has \$50,000 of funding for student interns from HBCUs and \$50,000 of funding for grant projects. A similar position and level of funding is needed for Virginia Indian tribes. She also noted that DHR seeks changes to the Code of Virginia to eliminate the requirement to notify adjacent property owners of pending Register nominations as adjacent property owners do not have standing to object to nominations thus resulting in confusion about the purpose of notifying them. Director Langan said that DHR is requesting an additional grant management position to handle the new

state and federal grant opportunities created in recent years. She explained that the state historical highway marker program has become so popular that the workload is no longer sustainable for the one full-time and one part-time position assigned to the program. Plans for addressing the program's needs will be presented to the BHR at their next meeting in December 2021. Director Langan informed the Boards that their next meeting will take place in Richmond.

A BHR member asked if individuals would be able to apply for grant projects, or only communities and organizations. Ms. Langan said that 501c3 nonprofit organizations and local governments can apply for grant projects. An SRB member said that Virginia is a national leader in pursuing equity in its programs.

Director Langan next explained that an acknowledgement statement regarding indigenous communities and enslaved African Americans has been prepared for this meeting. She read the statement and asked for questions from the board members. Dr. Fairfax asked that the contributions of "free African Americans" also be included in the acknowledgement statement.

Chair Lemon thanked Dr. Fairfax and requested a motion for approval of the acknowledgement statement as amended. Mr. Ruth so moved and Ms. Luck-Brimmer seconded. Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Dr. Fairfax, Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Ruth, and Chair Lemon voted in the affirmative.

Chair Lahendro asked for a motion for approval of the acknowledgement statement as amended. Ms. Shull made the motion and Mr. Salmon seconded. Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Chair Lahendro, Dr. Allen, Dr. Lounsbury, Mr. Mullen, Mr. Salmon, and Ms. Shull voted in the affirmative.

Deputy Director Williams presented the draft contextualization regulations to the BHR. She explained the section of the Code of Virginia that allows local jurisdictions to contextualize war memorials. The proposed regulations are based on similar regulations concerning the state historical highway marker program; however, the contextualization regulations are for a program that is completely separate from the state historical highway marker program. The definition of "contextualization" is provided, along with situations in which the contextualization regulations would apply. An application for contextualizing will be required that includes information about the war memorial, history of the memorial, current photos, and proposed text and location for a contextualizing marker. She then listed the actions that the BHR can take regarding an application. Upon approval by the BHR and completion of the regulatory review process, the regulations will be added to the Virginia Administrative Code (VAC). Deputy Director Williams explained that the local government is the entity that will apply for a contextualization marker. She explained the process through which the BHR-approved draft regulations would continue through to inclusion in the VAC, including review by Executive Branch agencies, the General Assembly, and a 30-day public comment period. The BHR discussed how the regulations would be implemented, including guidance to local governments.

Chair Lemon requested a motion for approval of the draft contextualization regulations. Mr. Ruth so moved and Ms. Luck-Brimmer seconded. Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Dr. Fairfax, Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Ruth, and Chair Lemon voted in the affirmative.

Deputy Director Williams next presented the proposed 2022 Board meeting dates, as follows: March 16, 2022 (Board training); March 17, 2022; June 16, 2022; September 15, 2022; and December 8, 2022.

Chair Lemon requested a motion for approval of the 2022 meeting schedule. Dr. Fairfax so moved and Ms. Luck-Brimmer seconded. Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Dr. Fairfax, Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Ruth, and Chair Lemon voted in the affirmative.

Chair Lahendro asked for a motion for approval of the 2022 meeting schedule. Mr. Mullen made the motion and Dr. Lounsbury seconded. Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Chair Lahendro, Dr. Allen, Dr. Lounsbury, Mr. Mullen, Mr. Salmon, and Ms. Shull voted in the affirmative.

David Edwards presented the Statewide Comprehensive Work Plan to the Boards. He explained the process through which the statewide comprehensive preservation plan was updated for the next 6 years according to requirements of the National Park Service, including public input and focus on previously underserved communities, analysis of the previous 6-year plan, and discussion of current conditions, threats, and trends pertaining to historic resources. Goals and objectives related to federal Historic Preservation Fund program areas are included – planning, grants, survey and inventory, National Register, local government certification, review and compliance tax incentives, and administration. The Boards asked about the metrics for the proposed work plan. Mr. Edwards explained that DHR measures performance under the work plan on a quarterly basis. Deputy Director Williams noted that state metrics also are recorded each quarter.

Chair Lemon requested a motion for approval of the statewide comprehensive work plan. Dr. Fairfax so moved and Ms. Luck-Brimmer seconded. Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Dr. Fairfax, Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Ruth, and Chair Lemon voted in the affirmative.

Chair Lahendro asked for a motion for approval of the statewide comprehensive work plan. Mr. Salmon made the motion and Dr. Allen seconded. Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Chair Lahendro, Dr. Allen, Dr. Lounsbury, Mr. Mullen, Mr. Salmon, and Ms. Shull voted in the affirmative.

GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT CONCERNING THE BOARD OF HISTORIC RESOURCES

Deputy Director Williams explained that DHR receives public comment through two means: written and oral. DHR received no written comments in advance of the meeting.

Chair Lemon read aloud the Statement Regarding Public Participation at Virginia BHR Meetings.

The following members of the public spoke during the public comment period:

1. Kayla Halberg spoke in support of the nomination for Vint Hill Farms Station Historic District in Fauquier County and thanked everyone who provided assistance to the project.
2. Cary Jamieson thanked those who supported her project.

Deputy Director Williams introduced Mr. Jim Hare.

NOMINATIONS

Mr. Jim Hare presented the following nominations as a block.

Eastern Region

1. Chinn House, Town of Warsaw, Richmond County, DHR No. 321-0010, Criteria B and C
2. Fairfield-Sandston Historic District, Henrico County, DHR No. 043-6271, Criteria A and C
3. Troop 111 Boy Scout Cabin, Gloucester County, DHR No. 036-5179, Criteria A and C

Comment Summary:

Mr. Wagner recommended that the Fairfield-Sandston Historic District's historic name be listed as Sandston Historic District with "Fairfield-Sandston" as an "other" name because the community was called Fairfield for just two years (1919-1921). He explained how review comments received from SRB members were addressed by revising the nomination.

Dr. Lounsbury noted that a coauthor of the Chinn House nomination, Mae Tilley, is one of his former students.

Chair Lemon asked for a motion to approve the Eastern Region nominations 1-3 as presented. Ms. Luck-Brimmer made the motion and Dr. Fairfax seconded. Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Dr. Fairfax, Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Ruth, and Chair Lemon voted unanimously by roll call to approve the Eastern Region nominations 1-3 as presented.

Chair Lahendro asked for a motion to approve the Eastern Region nominations 1-3 as presented. Dr. Lounsbury made the motion and Dr. Allen seconded. Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Chair Lahendro, Dr. Allen, Dr. Lounsbury, Mr. Mullen, Mr. Salmon, and Ms. Shull voted unanimously by roll call to approve the Eastern Region nominations 1-3 as presented.

Mr. Jim Hare presented the following nominations as a block.

Northern Region

1. St. James Baptist Church and Cemetery, Fauquier County, DHR No. 030-5898, Criterion A and Criteria Considerations A and D
2. Vint Hill Farms Station Historic District, Fauquier County, DHR No. 030-0020, Criterion A

Comment Summary:

Chair Lemon asked for a motion to approve the Northern Region nominations 1-2 as presented. Ms. Luck-Brimmer made the motion and Mr. Ruth seconded. Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Dr. Fairfax, Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Ruth, and Chair Lemon voted unanimously by roll call to approve the Eastern Region nominations 1-2 as presented.

Chair Lahendro asked for a motion to approve the Northern Region nominations 1-2 as presented. Dr. Allen made the motion and Ms. Shull seconded. Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Chair Lahendro, Dr. Allen, Dr. Lounsbury, Mr. Mullen, Mr. Salmon, and Ms. Shull voted unanimously by roll call to approve the Eastern Region nominations 1-2 as presented.

Mr. Jim Hare presented the following nominations as a block.

Western Region

1. Gish Mill, Town of Vinton, Roanoke County, DHR No. 149-0057, Criteria A and C
2. Mead's Tavern, Campbell County, DHR No. 015-0120, Criteria A, C, and D
3. Parr, Royster C. House, Amherst County, DHR No. 005-0165, Criterion C

Comment Summary:

Chair Lemon asked for a motion to approve the Western Region nominations 1-3 as presented. Dr. Fairfax made the motion and Ms. Luck-Brimmer seconded. Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Dr. Fairfax, Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Ruth, and Chair Lemon voted unanimously by roll call to approve the Western Region nominations 1-3 as presented.

Chair Lahendro asked for a motion to approve the Western Region nominations 1-3 as presented. Dr. Lounsbury made the motion and Mr. Mullen seconded. Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Chair Lahendro, Dr. Allen, Dr. Lounsbury, Mr. Mullen, Mr. Salmon, and Ms. Shull voted unanimously by roll call to approve the Western Region nominations 1-3 as presented.

Chair Lemon asked for a motion to adjourn the joint meeting. Mr. Ruth made the motion and Ms. Luck-Brimmer seconded. Chair Lemon proceeded with a roll call vote. Dr. Fairfax, Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Ruth, and Chair

Lemon voted in the affirmative. Chair Lemon informed meeting attendees that the BHR will reconvene at 12:30 p.m.

Chair Lahendro asked for a motion to adjourn the joint meeting. Dr. Allen made the motion and Dr. Lounsbury seconded. Chair Lahendro proceeded with a roll call vote. Chair Lahendro, Dr. Allen, Dr. Lounsbury, Mr. Mullen, Mr. Salmon, and Ms. Shull voted in the affirmative.

Deputy Director Williams explained to attendees how to continue attending the Board of Historic Resources meeting and to switch to the State Review Board meeting. She informed meeting attendees that the SRB will reconvene at 1:00 p.m.

The Joint Session of the Boards adjourned at 11:41 a.m.

Register Summary of Resources Listed: Historic Districts: 2
Buildings: 6
Structures: 0
Sites: 0
Objects: 0
MPDs: 0

BOARD OF HISTORIC RESOURCES

At James Madison's Montpelier, Center for the Constitution, Claude Moore Hall Potter Family Studio and online

Individuals with an asterisk by their name appeared virtually via Webex.

Board of Historic Resources Members Present:

W. Tucker Lemon, Chair
Dr. Colita Nichols Fairfax
Karice Luck-Brimmer
Trip Pollard
David Ruth

Department of Historic Resources (DHR) Staff

Present:

Julie Langan, Director
Stephanie Williams, Deputy Director
Kyle Edwards*
Dr. Jennifer Loux*
Randy Jones*
Brad McDonald
Megan Melinat*
Wendy Musumeci*
Jennifer Pullen
Karri Richardson*

Board of Historic Resources Members Absent:

Jeffrey "Free" A. Harris, Vice Chair
Dr. Ashley Atkins-Spivey

Other State Agency Staff Present:

Andrew Tarne (Office of the Attorney General)*

Guests Present from Attendees List at Meeting:

Viola Baecher
Victoria Wassmer
Franklin Wassmer

Guests Present from Attendees List in Webex:

Hali Haskins*	Cary Jamieson*
Kellu Hengler*	Justin Reid*
Max Hokit*	Lucille Smith*
James Hollins*	Jerry Teplitz*

Chair Lemon called the meeting to order at 12:32 p.m. Chair Lemon requested a roll call of the Board members and read the Board of Historic Resources Call to Order.

Chair Lemon invited those Board members present to introduce themselves: Dr. Fairfax, Mr. Ruth, Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Pollard, and Chair Lemon.

Chair Lemon stated that this is a hybrid meeting of the Board of Historic Resources, with Board members meeting in person, some DHR staff members on-site and the rest participating virtually. Chair Lemon also stated that those present at the meeting are required to follow mask and social distancing protocols.

HIGHWAY MARKERS

Dr. Jennifer Loux presented the following eight (8) Diversity Markers as a block and read the accompanying statements of support from the marker applicants.

Sponsor Markers – Diversity

1.) Emanuel Quivers (1814-1879)

Sponsor: Charles City County Richard M. Bowman Center for Local History; Victoria Wassmer

Locality: Charles City County

Proposed Location: South side of Route 5 near Berkeley Plantation

Comments Summary: Chair Lemon invited guest Victoria Wassmer to speak. Ms. Wassmer thanked Dr. Loux for her assistance with this marker. Ms. Wassmer stated that she, Viola Baecher and Franklin Wassmer are descendants of Emanuel and Frances Quivers and that they were pleased to see a highway marker that commemorates their remarkable ancestors. Ms. Wassmer specifically thanked the following people and groups for their assistance: Virginia Descendants Workshop sponsored by Virginia Humanities; Judith Ledbetter; Charles City County Center for Local History; Cary and Jamie Jamieson, owners of Berkeley Plantation; Gregg Kimball, Library of Virginia; and family genealogist Viola Baecher.

Ms. Luck-Brimmer commended Ms. Wassmer and Viola Baecher for their research and perseverance. Mr. Ruth stated that he worked at Historic Tredegar for several years and he often thought that the story of the African American laborer (enslaved and free) had been overlooked. Mr. Ruth stated that Mr. Quivers was an important figure in the equal pay for equal labor movement at Tredegar. Mr. Ruth is pleased to recognize Mr. Quivers' accomplishments in Charles City County but he hopes that one day Mr. Quivers' contributions to Tredegar will also be recognized.

2.) First Baptist Church of Midlothian

Sponsor: First Baptist Church of Midlothian History Ministry

Locality: Chesterfield County

Proposed Location: 13800 Westfield Road

3.) Armistead S. Nickens (1836-1906)

Sponsor: The Nickens Family

Locality: Lancaster County

Proposed Location: Kamps Mill Road, just south of Camps Millpond

4.) Scrabble School

Sponsor: Scrabble School Preservation Foundation, Inc.

Locality: Rappahannock County

Proposed Location: 111 Scrabble Road, Castleton

5.) Group Camp 7

Sponsor: Pocahontas State Park

Locality: Chesterfield County

Proposed Location: Beach Road at intersection with State Park Road

6.) Dr. Madison S. Briscoe (1904-1995)

Sponsor: Winchester-Frederick County Historical Society

Locality: Winchester

Proposed Location: corner of S. Kent and E. Cork Streets

7.) *Swanson v. University of Virginia*

Sponsor: Jefferson-Madison Regional Library

Locality: Charlottesville

Proposed Location: 201 E. Market St.

8.) Jackson P. Burley High School

Sponsor: Jackson P. Burley Varsity Club

Locality: Charlottesville

Proposed Location: 901 Rose Hill Drive

Comments Summary: With regard to the Armistead S. Nickens marker, Dr. Fairfax commented that people don't often consider the history of free Black populations, especially in the Northern Neck. With regard to the Group Camp 7 marker, Dr. Fairfax noted that youth group activities continued there into the 1970's. Dr. Fairfax also stated that after *Swanson v. University of Virginia* was decided, the second African American graduate student was Louise Hunter who graduated in 1953, and was a member of AKA. Ms. Luck-Brimmer noted that Mr. Swanson was from Danville. Ms. Luck-Brimmer also noted that the first three African American graduates from the University of Virginia's law school were from Danville. The third graduate was Robert Williams who staged a sit-in at the Danville Public Library prior to attending UVA. Mr. Williams' father was an attorney who represented Mr. Swanson in his lawsuit against UVA.

With a motion to approve by Dr. Fairfax and a second from Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Dr. Fairfax, Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Pollard, Mr. Ruth and Chair Lemon voted in the affirmative.

Chair Lemon stated that the ninth Diversity Marker would be presented and voted on separately because Dr. Fairfax assisted with the marker application and is a member of the AKA Iota Omega Chapter. Chair Lemon asked Dr. Fairfax to leave the room during the presentation and vote. Dr. Fairfax left the meeting at 12:57 p.m.

Dr. Jennifer Loux presented the following Diversity Marker and read the accompanying statement of support from the marker applicant:

9.) AKA Iota Omega

Sponsor: Iota Omega Chapter, Alpha Kappa Alpha Sorority, Inc®

Locality: Norfolk

Proposed Location: First Baptist Church, Bute Street

Comments Summary: None.

With a motion to approve by Ms. Luck-Brimmer and a second from Mr. Ruth, Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Pollard, Mr. Ruth and Chair Lemon voted in the affirmative.

Dr. Fairfax returned to the meeting at 1:03 p.m.

Dr. Jennifer Loux presented the following two (2) Sponsor Markers as a block and read the accompanying statements of support from the marker applicants.

Sponsor Markers

1.) Morattico

Sponsor: Morattico Waterfront Museum

Locality: Lancaster County

Proposed Location: Route 354 at intersection with Route 622

2.) Silver Lake Historic District

Sponsor: Silver Lake Bicentennial Committee

Locality: Rockingham County

Proposed Location: 2110 Silver Lake Road, Dayton

Comments Summary: With regards to the Morattico marker, Dr. Fairfax stated that Lancaster County has retained so much of its natural and historic resources with very few external commercial influences making it a wonderful area to study.

With a motion to approve by Ms. Luck-Brimmer and a second from Mr. Ruth, Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Dr. Fairfax, Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Pollard, Mr. Ruth and Chair Lemon voted in the affirmative.

Dr. Loux presented the following five (5) Asian American and Pacific Islander Heritage Month Contest Winners:

Asian American and Pacific Islander Heritage Month Contest Winners

1.) Dr. W. W. Yen (1877-1950)

Sponsor: Virginia Department of Education

Locality: Charlottesville

Proposed Location: Yen House at University of Virginia

2.) Kim Kyusik (1881-1950)

Sponsor: Virginia Department of Education

Locality: Salem

Proposed Location: on campus of Roanoke College

3.) Arthur Azo Matsu (1904-1987)

Sponsor: Virginia Department of Education

Locality: Williamsburg

Proposed Location: on campus at William & Mary

4.) Filipinos in the U.S. Navy

Sponsor: Virginia Department of Education

Locality: Virginia Beach

Proposed Location: near Philippine Cultural Center at 4857 Baxter Road

5.) Vietnamese Immigrants in Northern Virginia

Sponsor: Virginia Department of Education

Locality: Falls Church

Proposed Location: near Eden Center

Comments Summary: Dr. Fairfax asked for clarification as to whether Arthur Azo Matsu was the first Asian or Asian-American student to attend and graduate from the College of William & Mary. Dr. Loux confirmed that Matsu was the first Asian-American to attend and graduate from William & Mary. Dr. Fairfax was also pleased to see the Filipinos in the U.S. Navy marker because of the strong presence of the Filipino-American community in Virginia Beach.

With a motion to approve by Mr. Ruth and a second from Dr. Fairfax, Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Dr. Fairfax, Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Pollard, Mr. Ruth and Chair Lemon voted in the affirmative.

Dr. Loux presented the following two (2) DHR-Initiated Markers:

DHR-Initiated Markers

1.) Camp Alkulana

Sponsor: DHR

Locality: Bath County

Proposed Location: Route 39/42 near entrance to camp

2.) Earl Francis Lloyd (1928-2015)

Sponsor: DHR

Locality: Alexandria

Proposed Location: near 1020 Montgomery Street

Comments Summary: Chair Lemon asked why the Earl Francis Lloyd marker will be located near 1020 Montgomery Street in Alexandria. Dr. Loux responded that Mr. Lloyd grew up on that block of Montgomery Street. Dr. Lemon further stated that Camp Alkulana may be a good location for a future meeting of the Board of Historic Resources.

With a motion to approve by Mr. Pollard and a second from Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Dr. Fairfax, Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Pollard, Mr. Ruth and Chair Lemon voted in the affirmative.

Dr. Loux presented the following two (2) Replacement Markers:

Replacement Markers

1.) Maycock's Plantation

Locality: Prince George County

Sponsor: VDOT

2.) Smoky Ordinary

Locality: Brunswick County

Sponsor: VDOT

Comments Summary: None.

With a motion to approve by Mr. Ruth and a second from Mr. Pollard, Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Dr. Fairfax, Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Pollard, Mr. Ruth and Chair Lemon voted in the affirmative.

Dr. Loux presented the following three (3) Local Markers:

Local Markers

1.) River View Farm, Albemarle County

Comments Summary: Dr. Loux stated that the Board is voting on whether the proposed local marker's appearance is sufficiently different from the state highway markers. The proposed marker will be brown instead of green as illustrated for the Board. Chair Lemon asked how many green markers were already in use and Dr. Loux said there are currently two green markers which were erected in partnership with the Albemarle County School Board so the County may want the school-related markers to be green and the other markers to be brown. Dr. Loux noted that the County has not instituted a formal marker program so they are just asking for approval of their local markers one at a time. Chair Lemon asked for specifics on the size and fonts for the Virginia Highway Markers. Dr. Loux responded that the local signs are made by the same foundry as the Virginia Highway Markers so the font will be the same but the overall sign will be smaller than the 42-inch size of the Virginia Highway Markers. Attorney Pollard commented that these local markers are similar in appearance to the Virginia Highway Markers and questioned if this would cause confusion as to the type of marker. Dr. Loux stated that the local signs are differentiated from the Virginia Highway Markers due to differences in shape, size and color and the addition of the county or city seal in place of the Virginia state seal. Mr. Pollard asked if staff consulted with the County during the development of their marker program. Dr. Loux responded yes.

With a motion to approve by Mr. Pollard and a second from Mr. Ruth, Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Dr. Fairfax, Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Pollard, Mr. Ruth and Chair Lemon voted in the affirmative.

2.) Sign to be Attached to Post of Fort Loudoun Q-4-k Marker in Winchester

Comments Summary: Chair Lemon commented that he appreciates the proposed signage to be added to the marker post as it places the viewer's location within Fort Loudoun. Mr. Pollard asked for confirmation of the size of the proposed sign to be added to the marker and whether this sign is significantly smaller than the marker. Dr. Loux confirmed that the proposed sign is 12" to 18" and significantly smaller than the marker. Mr. Pollard asked if the Board has approved other signs to be added to historic highway markers. Dr. Loux stated that the Board has approved additional signage by Virginia History Trails to be added to historic highway markers.

With a motion to approve by Dr. Fairfax and a second from Ms. Luck Brimmer, Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Dr. Fairfax, Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Pollard, Mr. Ruth and Chair Lemon voted in the affirmative.

Dr. Fairfax asked if DHR staff has considered the uniformity of marker sizes for each county or locality. Dr. Loux stated that she has encouraged Albemarle County to develop a uniform historic marker program but they are not quite ready to move ahead with that yet. Dr. Loux went on to state that DHR tries to promote local history marker programs whenever possible.

3.) Daughters of the American Revolution Commemorative Marker Program

Comments Summary: Dr. Loux informed the Board that the Daughters of the American Revolution (“DAR”) has developed their own historic markers. These markers are commemorative in nature with no historic narrative. VDOT is not supporting the installation of the DAR signs in their rights-of-way, so they will likely be installed on private property. Mr. Pollard asked if the DAR markers are made by the same foundry as the Virginia Highway Markers. Dr. Loux stated that the DAR markers are bronze so she believes they are made by a different foundry. Mr. Pollard also asked about size of these markers and Dr. Loux responded that she did not know the exact sizes but they are available in two sizes.

[No vote necessary; informative presentation only]

The Marker portion of the agenda ended at 1:34 p.m.

EASEMENTS

Easement Offers for Consideration

Ms. Musumeci presented the following two (2) easement offers for consideration:

1. Rollins Tract, Bristoe Station Battlefield, Prince William County

Easement Applicant: American Battlefield Trust

Acreage: 22.35 acres

Grant Funding: American Battlefield Protection Program and Virginia Land Conservation Fund

The Easement Acceptance Committee recommends approval of the easement offer on the Rollins Tract, subject to the following conditions:

1. Subordination of the existing agricultural and residential leases to the BHR easement.
2. Demolition of the existing non-historic buildings and structures occur shall be completed within three (3) years of the date of easement recordation according to a written management plan, and such plan shall be incorporated into the easement either directly or by reference.
3. Final review of title work, title insurance policy, survey and draft easement by the Office of the Attorney General.

2. Egger Tract, Williamsburg Battlefield, York County

Easement Applicant: American Battlefield Trust

Acreage: 250.84 acres

Grant Funding: American Battlefield Protection Program, Surry-Skiffes-Creek-Wheaton Transmission Line Mitigation Fund, Virginia Battlefield Protection Program and Readiness and Environmental Protection Integration Program

The Easement Acceptance Committee recommends approval of the easement offer on the Egger Tract subject to the following conditions:

1. Continued negotiation between DHR, ABT, and the Navy of the specific provisions, restrictions, and reserved rights to be included in the BHR and Navy easements, to DHR’s satisfaction.
2. Release of 1983 timber deed and life estates prior to easement recordation.
3. Any mortgage, note, or deed of trust in effect at the time of easement recordation must be subordinated to the easement.
4. Final review of title work, survey, title commitment and draft easement by the Office of the Attorney General.

Ms. Musumeci stated that the Easement Acceptance Committee recommended acceptance of New Easement Offers for Consideration Items #1-#2 as presented.

Comments Summary: Dr. Fairfax asked if Route 60 runs through the Egger Tract. Ms. Musumeci responded that the Property is bounded by I-64, with Route 60 running west of the property and Carter's Grove located to the south of the property. Ms. Musumeci stated that there were seven quarter farms associated with Carter's Grove and the Egger Tract was one of the seven farms.

Chair Lemon asked if DHR staff anticipate any negotiation issues with the Navy. Ms. Musumeci responded that while negotiations have been challenging, most of the major issues have been addressed. The Trust has been helping DHR negotiate with the Navy and is satisfied with the current negotiations.

Chair Lemon asked why the Navy is concerned with the Egger Tract property. Ms. Musumeci stated that the goal of the Navy's grant program is to stop encroachment against or near their facilities. Ms. Musumeci further explained that the Navy has concerns relating to national security and is interested in preventing development of this property. The property is privately owned; the Trust has a contract to purchase the property and is utilizing all of the grant funds to acquire the property and place it under easement. Ms. Musumeci further stated that because there are four grant funders it has been hard to satisfy all the grant requirements.

Chair Lemon commented that this property is incredibly historic and it is hard to imagine any more history on the property. He further noted that it is amazing that the Property is still in private hands and relatively intact. Ms. Musumeci agreed and noted that DHR staff has researched the Property and conducted a site visit. Chair Lemon confirmed that the easement will protect the entire span of historic resources on the Property.

With a motion to approve by Mr. Pollard and a second from Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Dr. Fairfax, Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Pollard, Mr. Ruth and Chair Lemon voted in the affirmative.

Easement Offer for Reconsideration

Ms. Musumeci presented the following easement offer for reconsideration:

1. Edwards Tract, Port Republic Battlefield, Rockingham County

Easement Applicant: Shenandoah Valley Battlefields Foundation

Acreage: 107 acres

Grant Funding: American Battlefield Protection Program, Virginia Land Conservation Fund, and Virginia Battlefield Preservation Fund

The Easement Acceptance Committee recommends reauthorization of the Edwards Tracts easement offer, subject to the following new conditions:

1. With regard to the proposed right-of-way:
 - The ROW must be located and identified on the boundary survey.
 - Any road or trail added to this ROW must be permeable, with limited tar and gravel as needed.
 - The ROW will be subject to a Phase I archaeological survey prior to tree removal and road/trail construction.
 - SVBF will require a release of any other access easement, such that this ROW becomes the sole access to the adjacent parcel.

The following June 18, 2020 Board approval conditions will also remain in effect:

1. Receipt of a title commitment insuring the BHR following review of survey by the title company.

2. SVBF's Deed(s) of Trust must be subordinated to the BHR easement.
3. Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions ("CCR") must be waived or subordinated to the BHR easement.
4. Final review of title work, survey, title insurance policy and draft easement by the Office of the Attorney General.

Ms. Musumeci stated that the Easement Acceptance Committee recommended acceptance of the Easement Offer for Reconsideration Item #1 as presented.

Comments Summary: Chair Lemon asked if the owner of the adjacent parcel is aware of the right of way terms. Ms. Musumeci confirmed that all parties are aware of the right of way terms. Ms. Musumeci added that the adjacent parcel owner is also one of the owners of the Edwards Tracts, so he wants to retain a means of access to the adjacent parcel. Chair Lemon asked if the adjacent parcel has been developed. Ms. Musumeci stated that the parcel is not developed. Chair Lemon stated that he was concerned about a 50' ROW as it sounds like a major VDOT roadway, but if the adjacent parcel is not developed he sees no reason for further concern. Ms. Musumeci added that the adjacent parcel is located near the Shenandoah Valley National Park boundary so no major development of the adjacent parcel is anticipated.

With a motion to approve by Ms. Luck-Brimmer and a second from Mr. Pollard, Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Dr. Fairfax, Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Pollard, Mr. Ruth and Chair Lemon voted in the affirmative.

Violation Update

Megan Melinat presented the following violation update:

1. Poor House Farm, Northampton County

Property Owner: Eastern Shore of Virginia Barrier Islands Center

Easement Recorded: July 1, 2002

Remediation of major and willful violation

Physical remediation actions for the Quarter Kitchen:

1. Removal of the vapor barrier under the wood floor and the return of the flooring to a dirt floor or dry-laid brick floor over compacted stone dust.
2. Removal of a portion of the non-historic brick patio (indicated in blue and yellow at right), a minimum distance of four feet away from the building, and to the edge of the 8'x8'x4' deep concrete pads.
3. Removal of the brick half-wall and brick skirt.
4. Removal of the surrounding vegetation.
5. Installation of additional crack and moisture monitoring at the north corner of the Quarter Kitchen following previously described alterations to the patio. The results of the monitoring will inform the scope of work necessary to address the stability concerns in this area of the building.
6. Confirmation of the use of lime-based mortar in the modifications to the building that occurred since 2017.
7. Replace exterior wall bricks that are cracked through, and repoint with lime-based mortar.
8. Confirmation that the new bricks are properly tied into other wythes. Consider filling voids between the brick wythes of the exterior wall with flowable lime-based mortar OR installing retrofit mechanical anchors to ensure stability.
9. Repair/ replace the deteriorated section of the wood sill plate.
10. Repair/replace the wood floor joist directly over the stove.

The remaining remediation measures for the property include:

1. The Virginia Landmarks Register/National Register of Historic Places nominations will be updated to remove the Quarter Kitchen as a contributing resource, and list the building as non-contributing due to the loss of historic integrity.
2. The deed of easement will be amended to reflect the non-contributing status of the Quarter Kitchen. Alterations to the exterior of the building must continue to be subject to review and approval to ensure that changes are not made that would be incompatible with the historic character of the property.
3. Signage and interpretive information will be revised to ensure that the Quarter Kitchen is not misrepresented as an historic resource.

Comments Summary: Chair Lemon stated that while he appreciates the cooperation of the Eastern Shore of Virginia Barrier Islands Center, he was disappointed that this violation involved the oldest building on the property and resulted in this structure being designated as non-contributing.

[No vote required]

New Easements Recorded Since the March 2021 HRB Meeting

Ms. Musumeci briefed the Board about the following recently recorded easements:

1. Pardue Tract, Second Manassas Battlefield, Prince William County

Easement Donor: American Battlefield Trust

Acreage: 5.8617 acres

Date Recorded: June 13, 2021

Grant Funding: American Battlefield Protection Program and Virginia Battlefield Preservation Fund

2. River Road Tract, New Market Battlefield, Shenandoah County

Easement Donor: Shenandoah Valley Battlefields Foundation

Acreage: 13.3966 acres

Date Recorded: June 22, 2021

Grant Funding: American Battlefield Protection Program and Virginia Battlefield Preservation Fund

3. Long Bridge Road Tract, Second Deep Bottom Battlefield, Henrico County

Easement Donor: Capital Region Land Conservancy, Inc.

Acreage: 38.5 acres

Date Recorded: July 23, 2021

Grant Funding: American Battlefield Protection Program and Virginia Battlefield Preservation Fund

4. Cedar Run Tract, Cedar Run Battlefield, Culpeper County

Easement Donor: American Battlefield Trust

Acreage: 86.539 acres

Date Recorded: August 25, 2021

Grant Funding: American Battlefield Protection Program and Virginia Land Conservation Fund

Comments Summary: Dr. Fairfax commended the staff for organizing an excellent meeting.

With a motion by Ms. Luck-Brimmer and a second from Mr. Ruth, Deputy Director Williams proceeded with a roll call vote. Dr. Fairfax, Ms. Luck-Brimmer, Mr. Pollard, Mr. Ruth and Chair Lemon voted in the affirmative.

Chair Lemon adjourned the meeting of the Board of Historic Resources at 2:13 p.m.

STATE REVIEW BOARD

At James Madison's Montpelier, Lewis Hall and online

State Review Board Members Present

Chair Jody Lahendro
Dr. Jody L. Allen
Dr. Carl Lounsbury
John Mullen
John Salmon
Carol Shull

State Review Board Members Absent

Vice-Chair Sara Bon-Harper

Department of Historic Resources Staff Present

Jim Hare
David Edwards
Elizabeth Lipford
Lena McDonald
Michael Pulice
Aubrey Von Lindern
Marc Wagner

Guests (from attendees list in Webex and sign-in sheets): John Aikin (Woodhurst Neighborhood HD); Mark Reed (Woodhurst Neighborhood HD); Ashlen Stump (Shuttlewood)

Chair Lahendro called the meeting to order at 1:06 p.m. for discussion and consideration of the Preliminary Information Forms (informal guidance session). He explained how the PIFs would be discussed and voted on by the SRB.

The following Preliminary Information Forms were *endorsed*, unless otherwise noted, with the following comments:

Western Region

1. Ebenezer AME Church, City of Roanoke, DHR No. 128-6474, Criteria A and C and Criteria Consideration A
Ancillary spaces in the building, including offices, classrooms, and a basement fellowship hall, were part of the building when it was constructed in 1928. Information about the two Methodist Episcopal congregations that owned the property prior to 1970 has not been found. Neither congregation is active today. The Ebenezer AME congregation acquired the property in 1970. AME pastors are rotated every two years and the current pastor was only recently assigned to this church. Long-time congregation members likely can recall historic events and activities that occurred here.

Chair Lahendro requested a motion for the SRB to approve the PIF to proceed. Dr. Allen made the motion and Mr. Mullen seconded. Ms. McDonald took a roll call vote. Chair Lahendro, Dr. Allen, Dr. Lounsbury, Mr. Mullen, Mr. Salmon, and Ms. Shull voted unanimously by roll call to approve the motion.

2. Natural Bridge CCC Camp, Rockbridge County, DHR No. 081-0430, Criteria A and C
The property's use, first as a CCC camp and then as a juvenile correctional center after World War II, was discussed. Additional research is needed to understand how the former camp evolved after 1945, including construction dates of buildings and the current landscape design.

Chair Lahendro requested a motion for the SRB to approve the PIF to proceed. Ms. Shull made the motion and Dr. Lounsbury seconded. Ms. McDonald took a roll call vote. Chair Lahendro, Dr. Allen, Dr. Lounsbury, Mr. Mullen, Mr. Salmon, and Ms. Shull voted unanimously by roll call to approve the motion.

Northern Region.....presented by Aubrey Von Lindern

1. C. L. Robinson Ice and Cold Storage Corporation, City of Winchester, DHR No. 138-5140, Criterion A
The building's evolution with multiple additions over time was discussed. If possible, a nomination for the property should discuss the historic functions in the building as it expanded through the 20th century.

Chair Lahendro requested a motion for the SRB to approve the PIF to proceed. Ms. Mullen made the motion and Mr. Salmon seconded. Ms. McDonald took a roll call vote. Chair Lahendro, Dr. Allen, Dr. Lounsbury, Mr. Mullen, Mr. Salmon, and Ms. Shull voted unanimously by roll call to approve the motion.

2. Rutledge-Mehler House, Augusta County, DHR No. 007-0180, Criterion C
The house's retention of historic paint schemes and local vernacular variations on Federal stylistic attributes were discussed as particularly noteworthy.

Chair Lahendro requested a motion for the SRB to approve the PIF to proceed. Dr. Lounsbury made the motion and Ms. Shull seconded. Ms. McDonald took a roll call vote. Chair Lahendro, Dr. Allen, Dr. Lounsbury, Mr. Mullen, Mr. Salmon, and Ms. Shull voted unanimously by roll call to approve the motion.

3. St. Paul's Episcopal Cemetery, City of Alexandria, DHR No. 100-0143, Criterion C and Criteria Consideration D
The cemetery is one of approximately twelve privately-owned cemeteries that are grouped together in a single complex, an arrangement rarely seen in Virginia but documented in other localities such as New Orleans.

Chair Lahendro requested a motion for the SRB to approve the PIF to proceed. Mr. Mullen made the motion and Dr. Allen seconded. Ms. McDonald took a roll call vote. Chair Lahendro, Dr. Allen, Dr. Lounsbury, Mr. Mullen, Mr. Salmon, and Ms. Shull voted unanimously by roll call to approve the motion.

4. Snickersville Turnpike, Loudoun County, DHR No. 053-6487, Criteria A and C
The Snickers Gap Turnpike Company built the turnpike and it has remained on its original alignment, for the most part, ever since. The Virginia Department of Transportation already has been treating the road as a Register-eligible resource for a number of years.

Chair Lahendro requested a motion for the SRB to approve the PIF to proceed. Dr. Lounsbury made the motion and Mr. Salmon seconded. Ms. McDonald took a roll call vote. Chair Lahendro, Dr. Allen, Dr. Lounsbury, Mr. Mullen, Mr. Salmon, and Ms. Shull voted unanimously by roll call to approve the motion.

5. Staunton Steam Laundry, City of Staunton, DHR No. 132-5027, Criteria A and C
Information about laundry operations, including uses in buildings and additions as they were added to the original property, is expected to be available because the firm has voluminous historic records.

Chair Lahendro requested a motion for the SRB to approve the PIF to proceed. Dr. Lounsbury made the motion and Ms. Salmon seconded. Ms. McDonald took a roll call vote. Chair Lahendro, Dr. Allen, Dr. Lounsbury, Mr. Mullen, Mr. Salmon, and Ms. Shull voted unanimously by roll call to approve the motion.

6. Wampler Farm, Augusta County, DHR No. 007-0418, Criterion C
The property's bank barn is particularly notable due to its construction date and design, although it has been expanded over time. The dwelling has local vernacular adaptations of the Federal style in the same vein as the Rutledge-Mehler House.

Chair Lahendro requested a motion for the SRB to approve the PIF to proceed. Dr. Allen made the motion and Ms. Shull seconded. Ms. McDonald took a roll call vote. Chair Lahendro, Dr. Allen, Dr. Lounsbury, Mr. Mullen, Mr. Salmon, and Ms. Shull voted unanimously by roll call to approve the motion.

Eastern Region.....presented by Marc Wagner and Elizabeth Lipford

1. Oak Grove Baptist Church and Cemetery, York County, DHR No. 099-5091, Criteria A and C and Criteria Considerations A and D
The location of a school historically associated with the church has not been ascertained. In 1900 and 1901, the congregation purchased the land where the cemetery and the original church were located. The church building was removed after the military acquired the property while the cemetery remained in place. The congregation built a new church, using some materials salvaged from the original building, on a new site a short distance away. The period of significance for the property includes the cemetery, the 1940s military acquisition and the new church on a discontinuous site. The cemetery's large assortment of handmade grave markers was noted.

Chair Lahendro requested a motion for the SRB to approve the PIF to proceed. Mr. Salmon made the motion and Mr. Mullen seconded. Ms. McDonald took a roll call vote. Chair Lahendro, Dr. Allen, Dr. Lounsbury, Mr. Mullen, Mr. Salmon, and Ms. Shull voted unanimously by roll call to approve the motion.

2. St. Clare Walker School Historic District, Middlesex County, DHR No. 059-0078, Criterion A
Additional research was suggested to determine if John St. Clare Walker worked at the school after it was constructed to replace an earlier building where he was principal.

Chair Lahendro requested a motion for the SRB to approve the PIF to proceed. Dr. Allen made the motion and Ms. Shull seconded. Ms. McDonald took a roll call vote. Chair Lahendro, Dr. Allen, Dr. Lounsbury, Mr. Mullen, Mr. Salmon, and Ms. Shull voted unanimously by roll call to approve the motion.

3. Shuttlewood, New Kent County, DHR No. 063-0095, Criterion C
Dr. Lounsbury noted his observations about changes to the dwelling during a site visit. The historic paint scheme still extant in parts of the house are particularly of note. The property's archaeological potential also was discussed.

Chair Lahendro requested a motion for the SRB to approve the PIF to proceed. Mr. Mullen made the motion and Ms. Shull seconded. Mr. Lounsbury recused himself from voting on the PIF. Ms. McDonald

took a roll call vote. Chair Lahendro, Dr. Allen, Mr. Mullen, Mr. Salmon, and Ms. Shull voted unanimously by roll call to approve the motion.

4. Woodhurst Neighborhood Historic District, City of Virginia Beach, DHR File No. 134-5874, Criterion C
The Modern architectural style used for the dwellings was discussed, including similarities to hotels and motels in Virginia Beach of the same era that were documented in a recent MPD. Woodhurst, however, is distinguished by being in a rural setting as opposed to beachfront/urban. Mr. Reed (City of Virginia Beach) explained how the neighborhood came to his office's attention through a request from a local resident. To date, two online meetings and one in-person meeting has been held with neighborhood residents that demonstrate property owner support for the project. The possibility of the Modern style appealing to military families living in the neighborhood was discussed; Mr. Reed has confirmed the presence of military families by checking period phone directories. Meeting attendee Mr. Aikin spoke in support of the project.

Chair Lahendro requested a motion for the SRB to approve the PIF to proceed. Mr. Salmon made the motion and Dr. Allen seconded. Ms. McDonald took a roll call vote. Chair Lahendro, Dr. Allen, Dr. Lounsbury, Mr. Mullen, Mr. Salmon, and Ms. Shull voted unanimously by roll call to approve the motion.

Chair Lahendro requested a motion for the SRB to adjourn. With a motion from Dr. Lounsbury and a second from Dr. Allen, the motion was approved. Ms. McDonald took a roll call vote. Chair Lahendro, Dr. Allen, Dr. Lounsbury, Mr. Mullen, Mr. Salmon, and Ms. Shull voted unanimously by roll call to approve the motion. The SRB meeting was adjourned at 3:35 p.m.

- * Cost Share Sponsored Project
- ** Certified Local Government
- *** Certified Local Government Sponsored Project
- Δ Associated with African American history
- ^ ESHPF Disaster Assistance Grant Project
- # Associated with Virginia Indian history